Continuous Causality and the Emergence of classical physical Law

Ahmed Albezawi

October 19, 2025

Abstract

We propose a framework in which physical law arises as an *approximation to continuous causality.* Instead of assuming that causal relations form a discrete set of events, we posit that between any two events there exists an uncountable, densely ordered continuum of intermediate causal connections. This continuous causal fabric replaces the conventional notion of spacetime points with a real-valued ordering structure, in which each event is a limiting boundary of infinitely many causal intermediates. The mathematical laws of physics then emerge as effective descriptions of the averaged behavior along such continuous causal chains. Within this framework, geometry and dynamics are interpreted as statistical projections of an underlying causal continuum endowed with a measure and local kernel of influence.

1 Introduction

Physical theories conventionally begin by postulating a manifold of events equipped with a metric, a topology, or a discrete causal order. In contrast, we consider the possibility that causality itself is fundamentally continuous, forming a real-valued dense order between every pair of events. Under this hypothesis, the differential equations of physics are not primitive laws but approximations that describe the coarse-grained structure of this continuous causal medium.

In what follows, we develop the minimal axioms required for a continuous causal structure, show how a natural measure and variational principle fix its density, and demonstrate how standard geometric and dynamical laws can emerge as limiting descriptions of causal continuity.

2 Axioms and Formal Structure

2.1 The Causal Manifold

We begin by postulating that the fundamental structure of reality is not a set of spacetime points, but a *continuous causal manifold* $(\mathcal{C}, \prec, \mu)$, consisting of:

1. A set of elements (events) $\mathcal{C}$;
2. A dense partial order relation $\prec$ on $\mathcal{C}$ representing causal precedence;
3. A smooth, positive measure μ representing the *causal density*.

The order $\prec$ is assumed to be transitive and irreflexive, and for any $p, q \in \mathcal{C}$ with $p \prec q$, there exists a continuum of intermediate events r such that $p \prec r \prec q$. In particular, each causal interval

$$I(p, q) = \{ r \in \mathcal{C} \mid p \prec r \prec q \}$$

is assumed to be homeomorphic to an open interval in $\mathbb{R}$.

2.2 Topology and Local Structure

The topology on $\mathcal{C}$, denoted τ_C, is generated by causal intervals:

$$\mathcal{B}_C = \{ I(p, q) \mid p, q \in \mathcal{C},\ p \prec q \}.$$

We further assume that $(\mathcal{C}, \tau_C)$ is locally homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^n$ and that each point $x \in \mathcal{C}$ admits a neighborhood U_x and a smooth embedding

$$\phi_x : U_x \to \mathbb{R}^n$$

such that the order $\prec$ corresponds locally to a continuous cone field $C_x \subset T_x\mathbb{R}^n$. This ensures compatibility between order and topology, analogous to the causal structure of Lorentzian manifolds but without presupposing a metric.

2.3 The Causal Measure

The measure μ assigns to each open causal interval $I(p, q)$ a real, positive value interpreted as the *causal density* or intensity of intermediate connections between p and q. We postulate that μ is absolutely continuous with respect to the local chart measure induced by ϕ_x, with a smooth density function $\rho(x)$:

$$d\mu(x) = \rho(x)\, d^n x.$$

The function ρ will later be determined by a variational condition ensuring the stability of the causal structure under small perturbations.

2.4 Continuum of Causation

The essential postulate of the theory is that between any two causally related events, there exists a *real-valued continuum* of causal intermediates. Formally, for all $p, q \in \mathcal{C}$ with $p \prec q$, there exists a bijection

$$f_{pq} : I(p, q) \to (0, 1)$$

preserving the causal order. Hence, the causal chain between any two events is topologically and order-theoretically equivalent to a real interval. This property endows $\mathcal{C}$ with an intrinsic continuity that precedes and underlies any geometric or dynamical structure derived from it.

3 Variational Principle for the Causal Density

3.1 Motivation

In a continuous causal framework, the measure μ (or equivalently its local density ρ) cannot be arbitrarily assigned, since it determines the intensity and stability of the causal order itself. We therefore postulate that ρ satisfies a local stationarity condition analogous to the extremization of an action functional in field theory. This ensures that the causal fabric remains in equilibrium under small variations of its own density.

3.2 Causal Action Functional

Let $\rho : \mathcal{C} \to \mathbb{R}^+$ be a smooth, positive function representing the local causal density, and let ∇ denote the gradient operator induced by local coordinates ϕ_x. We define the *causal action functional*

$$S[\rho] = \int_{\mathcal{C}} F(\rho, \nabla\rho)\, d^n x, \tag{1}$$

where F is a local scalar density encoding how variations in ρ affect the internal consistency of the causal order.

The simplest nontrivial choice, corresponding to a diffusion-like equilibrium, is

$$F(\rho, \nabla\rho) = \frac{(\nabla\rho)^2}{\rho}. \tag{2}$$

Alternatively, one may introduce curvature-like terms by treating ρ as generating an effective metric $g(\rho)$, so that

$$F(\rho, \nabla\rho) = R[g(\rho)] + \Lambda\rho, \tag{3}$$

where $R[g]$ is the Ricci scalar and Λ a constant representing the mean causal density.

3.3 Stationarity and Field Equation

Stationarity of the action under variations of ρ with compact support yields the Euler–Lagrange equation

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial \rho} - \nabla \cdot \frac{\partial F}{\partial(\nabla\rho)} = 0. \tag{4}$$

For the simplest choice $F = (\nabla\rho)^2/\rho$, this becomes

$$\nabla^2\rho - \frac{(\nabla\rho)^2}{2\rho} = 0, \tag{5}$$

which defines the condition of *causal equilibrium*. Solutions to this equation represent configurations of the causal continuum in which the local density of causal intermediates is self-consistent and stationary.

3.4 Interpretation

The field $\rho(x)$ thus plays a dual role: it defines the local measure of causal connectivity and simultaneously encodes an emergent geometric structure. Perturbations $\delta\rho$ propagate as causal fluctuations, and when linearized around an equilibrium configuration ρ_0, these fluctuations obey wave-like equations that can be interpreted as the precursors of field dynamics. In this sense, physical laws correspond to stable, coarse-grained approximations of variations in the underlying continuous causal density.

4 Emergence of Geometry and Field Equations

4.1 Metric Reconstruction from Causal Data

A key requirement of any causal framework is that it should reproduce the familiar geometric structure of spacetime at appropriate scales. In the present model, geometry is not primitive but *emergent* from the pair $(\prec, \mu)$. We appeal to the general class of reconstruction theorems (e.g. Hawking–King–McCarthy, Malament) which establish that, under suitable smoothness and global hyperbolicity conditions, the causal order together with a volume measure uniquely determines the spacetime metric up to a conformal factor.

Accordingly, we define the emergent metric g_{ab} as the unique tensor field satisfying:

1. The causal cones of g_{ab} coincide with the order relation $\prec$;
2. The metric volume element $\sqrt{|g|}\, d^n x$ is proportional to the causal measure $d\mu = \rho\, d^n x$.

Thus,

$$\sqrt{|g|} \propto \rho, \tag{6}$$

and the conformal degree of freedom of g_{ab} encodes the absolute scale of causal density.

4.2 Local Dynamics from Causal Kernel

We now introduce a local kernel $K(p, q)$ describing how causal influence propagates along the continuous order. Let $\Phi : \mathcal{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ represent a field defined on the causal manifold. The field value at p is determined by an integral over its causal predecessors:

$$\Phi(p) = \int_{q \prec p} K(p, q)\, \Phi(q)\, d\mu(q). \tag{7}$$

Expanding $K(p, q)$ for infinitesimally separated events, $q = p - \epsilon$, and assuming local symmetry and analyticity, we obtain a differential limit

$$L\Phi(p) = 0, \tag{8}$$

where L is a local differential operator derived from the kernel moments. In the lowest-order approximation, L takes the form of a covariant wave or Klein–Gordon operator with respect to the emergent metric g_{ab}:

$$\Box_g \Phi + m^2 \Phi = 0. \tag{9}$$

Hence, familiar field equations appear as effective, low-resolution limits of continuous causal propagation.

4.3 Geometric Field Coupling

Since ρ and g_{ab} are functionally related, variations of ρ induce variations in curvature. The causal action $S[\rho]$ can therefore be re-expressed as a functional of the metric itself:

$$S[g] = \int \big(R[g] + \Lambda\big) \sqrt{|g|}\, d^n x, \tag{10}$$

which reproduces, in the appropriate limit, the Einstein–Hilbert action. Thus, the gravitational field equations arise not as postulates but as the macroscopic equilibrium condition of the continuous causal density.

4.4 Summary

In this construction, geometry, field dynamics, and propagation laws all emerge from a single underlying assumption: that causality is a continuous and densely ordered structure. Discrete spacetime points, differential equations, and classical fields are coarse-grained manifestations of a deeper causal continuum whose measure and local interactions determine the effective geometry of the physical world.

5 Discussion and Outlook

5.1 Law as Emergent Regularity

The framework developed here reinterprets physical law as an emergent phenomenon, with classical laws explicitly arising from the continuity and density of causal relations. On this view, equations of motion, conservation laws, and geometric symmetries do not exist *a priori*; they are the macroscopic regularities that appear when the continuous causal field is approximated by discrete or manifold-based representations. A "law of physics" thus corresponds to an effective summary of the smooth statistical behavior of infinitesimal causal connections.

This inversion of the traditional hierarchy—in which causality precedes law, rather than being constrained by it—suggests that the apparent stability of physical laws reflects the local equilibrium of an underlying causal continuum. The constancy of such laws, in turn, depends on the stationarity of the causal measure μ and on the persistence of the emergent metric g_{ab}.

5.2 The Ontology of Time and Change

In a continuous causal manifold, time is not a primitive coordinate but an ordering parameter internal to the structure itself. Every interval $I(p, q)$ defines a local "arrow" of progression without reference to an external temporal axis. Change corresponds to variation in causal density ρ, and temporal flow arises as a phenomenological manifestation of the directed connectivity of the causal order. Thus, the passage of time can be understood as an emergent property of how causality organizes itself continuously rather than as an independent background variable.

5.3 Relation to Existing Frameworks

This proposal may be viewed as a continuous analogue of causal set theory, replacing discrete order with a real-valued dense continuum. In contrast to standard Lorentzian geometry, where the metric defines causal cones, here the cones are primary and the metric is reconstructed from them. The resulting structure also bears analogy to approaches based on information geometry and path integrals, yet it remains distinct in its ontological emphasis on continuity and order as the foundational entities.

5.4 Conclusion

We have outlined a theory in which causality is not discrete or metric-dependent, but a continuous and densely ordered substrate from which both geometry and law emerge. In this view, the mathematical regularities of physics are not the foundation of reality but its approximation: they are the shadows cast by an unbroken continuum of causal relations. Further development of this framework may offer a route toward unifying geometric, dynamical, and quantum phenomena under a single principle—the continuity of causation itself.

References

[1] D. B. Malament, "The class of continuous timelike curves determines the topology of spacetime," *J. Math. Phys.* **18**, 1399 (1977).

[2] S. W. Hawking, A. R. King, and P. J. McCarthy, "A new topology for curved spacetime which incorporates the causal, differential, and conformal structures," *J. Math. Phys.* **17**, 174 (1976).

[3] J. A. Wheeler, "Law without law," in *Quantum Theory and Measurement*, Princeton University Press (1983).

A Appendix: Derivation of the Causal Equilibrium Equation

A.1 Preliminaries

We consider the continuous causal manifold $(\mathcal{C}, \prec, \mu)$, where μ is a positive measure assigning a causal *density* $\rho(x)$ to each event $x \in \mathcal{C}$. Let $\Omega(x)$ denote the local causal neighborhood of x, defined as the set of events y satisfying $y \prec x \prec z$ for some z. We define the causal connectivity functional

$$\mathcal{S}[\rho] = \int_{\mathcal{C}} L(\rho, \nabla\rho, g_{ab})\, d\mu, \tag{11}$$

where L is a scalar density that measures the "tension" or deviation of ρ from uniform continuity. The fundamental assumption is that the physical state corresponds to a stationary point of $\mathcal{S}$.

A.2 Local Form of the Functional

To lowest order, we may take

$$L = \frac{1}{2} g^{ab} (\nabla_a \rho)(\nabla_b \rho) - V(\rho), \tag{12}$$

where $V(\rho)$ is a causal potential encoding the intrinsic bias of the causal medium toward uniform density. The corresponding Euler–Lagrange equation is

$$\nabla_a \nabla^a \rho = \frac{dV}{d\rho}. \tag{13}$$

This equation plays the role of a *causal equilibrium equation*, describing how causal density distributes itself in response to local curvature and potential.

If we choose $V(\rho) = \frac{1}{2} m^2 (\rho - \rho_0)^2$, then (13) becomes

$$\Box \rho - m^2 (\rho - \rho_0) = 0, \tag{14}$$

where $\Box = \nabla_a \nabla^a$ is the d'Alembertian operator associated with the emergent metric g_{ab}.

A.3 Metric Reconstruction

The emergent metric g_{ab} is not postulated but reconstructed from the causal order. Given the local density $\rho(x)$ and the infinitesimal volume element $d\mu$, we define

$$g_{ab}(x) \propto \left. \frac{\partial^2 \rho(x, y)}{\partial x^a \partial y^b} \right|_{y \to x}, \tag{15}$$

where $\rho(x, y)$ denotes the two-point causal connectivity function. This expression formalizes the intuition that the geometry of spacetime reflects the second-order structure of causal density correlations.

A.4 Linearized Perturbations

Let $\rho = \rho_0 + \epsilon \psi$, with $\epsilon \ll 1$. Linearizing (13) gives

$$\Box \psi - m^2 \psi = 0, \tag{16}$$

which is the Klein–Gordon equation for small causal perturbations. Thus, wave-like excitations and quantum fields may emerge as small oscillations in the continuous causal density. In the limit of low amplitude and near-flat causal geometry, ψ behaves as a free scalar field propagating through the emergent metric.

A.5 Energy–Momentum and Conservation

Variation of $\mathcal{S}$ with respect to g_{ab} yields a causal stress–energy tensor,

$$T_{ab} = \nabla_a \rho \nabla_b \rho - g_{ab} L, \tag{17}$$

which satisfies $\nabla^a T_{ab} = 0$ under equilibrium. This shows that conservation laws are not imposed externally but arise from stationarity of the causal action under continuous transformations of the measure μ.

A.6 Summary

The variational structure above demonstrates that:

1. The causal continuum admits a natural equilibrium condition of the form $\Box\rho = dV/d\rho$.
2. Small perturbations in causal density behave as field excitations obeying relativistic dynamics.
3. The metric and stress–energy tensor emerge as secondary constructs from correlations and invariances of ρ.

Hence, the continuous causal field serves as the single underlying entity from which geometry, dynamics, and quantum behavior can all be derived as approximations.